

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,485	02/23/2004	Dusan Milojevic	51981/DBP/R178	1783	
23363	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PO BOX 700	, PARKER & HALE, LI 58	SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY			
	A, CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3766		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/785,485		MILOJEVIC ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kennedy Sch	naetzle	3766				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address	<b>5</b>			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTH OF THE	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex , cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim  xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	I.  lely filed the mailing date of this communi (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requi	rement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be l	held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been i	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been i	received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity document	s have been receive	d in this National Stag	е			
	application from the International Bureau							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certifie	d copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	)					

Application/Control Number: 10/785,485

Art Unit: 3766

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-32, drawn to a cochlear implant apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 108.
- II. Claims 33-40, drawn to a method of implanting a medical device, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one involving insertion of a deep brain electrode through the skull.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

Art Unit: 3766

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W and F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on M-F at 571 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KJS October 2, 2006